

**Establishment of Transmission System –  
Talcher –II Augmentation System  
through tariff based competitive bidding process.**

**Clarification to Bidder’s queries on RFQ document:**

Sl. No.	Query Description	Clarification/ Remarks
1.	<p>Format 4.10 – Authorization from Affiliate – Note 2 states: Board resolution that concerned person is appointed as Manager as defined under the Companies Act 1956 for the <b>purpose in question.</b></p> <p>Is a specific resolution is required specifically to sign this Authorization letter, or a general board resolution appointing a manager for the purpose of the company shall be sufficient enough.</p>	<p>Yes, specific resolution is required from the Parent/Affiliate through a copy of Board Resolution attested by Company Secretary that the concerned person is appointed as Manager as defined under the Companies Act, 1956 for the purpose in question.</p> <p>The Company Secretary also certifies that the Parent/Affiliate does not have a Managing Director or CEO.</p>
2.	<p>In format 4.2, in the end the Name and Address of principal officer is required. Kindly clarify who shall be the principal officer here.</p> <p>It may be noted that Authroised signatory is already signing this letter, so more clarity on who this principal officer is required.</p>	<p>Principal officer is: Managing Director/ Chief Executive Officer, being a full time director in the Board.</p> <p>In case of Manager, the Company should confirm through a copy of Board Resolution attested by Company Secretary that the concerned person is appointed as Manager as defined under the Companies Act, 1956 for the purpose in question.</p> <p>The Company Secretary also certifies that the Company does not have a Managing Director or CEO.</p>
3.	<p>Cl. no. 2.1.2 –Technical Qualification</p> <p>In case the aggregate capital expenditure of the bidder or consortium is less than the aggregate capital expenditure required for both the projects, then bidder or consortium shall be qualified and awarded both the projects. Provided that the bidder of or consortium is qualified individually for each project.</p> <p>e.g. aggregate capital expenditure of the Bidder of Consortium is Rs. 800 Crs whereas aggregate for both the projects is Rs. 840 Crs. (520+320).</p>	<p>Each project i.e.</p> <p>(i) Augmentation of Talcher-II Transmission System</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) System Strengthening in Northern Region for import of power from North Karanpura and other projects outside NR and System Strengthening in Western Region for import of power from North Karanpura and other projects outside WR and also for power evacuation from projects within WR</p> <p>Shall be considered separate for evaluation of Technical Qualification.</p> <p>Further, for project (i) above, the Bidder must fulfill following technical requirements:</p>

Sl. No.	Query Description	Clarification/ Remarks
		Experience of development of projects (not necessarily in the power sector) in the last ten (10) years with aggregate capital expenditure not less than Rs. 320 Crore or equivalent USD (calculated as per provisions in Clause 3.1.3.1). However, the capital expenditure of at least one project should be equivalent to at least Rs 38.4 Crore or equivalent USD (calculated as per provisions in Clause 3.1.3.1).
4	<p>Clause 2.1.3.1</p> <p>Financial details of the Affiliates (whose only technical capability has been used) as submitted by the Bidder or Consortium in table Format 4.7 (E), Additional information for verification of financial and technical capabilities of bidders, shall not be used for considering financial qualification (IRG &amp; Networth requirement)</p>	Financial details of the Affiliates (whose only technical capability has been used in order to become Technically Evaluated Entity) as submitted by the Bidder in table Format 4.7 (E), Additional information for verification of financial and technical capabilities of bidders, shall not be used for considering financial qualification (IRG & Networth requirement) of Bidder unless the Technically Evaluated Entity is also considered for being qualified as Financially Evaluated Entity.
5	<p>Clause 2.1.2</p> <p>Experience of development of projects (not necessarily in the power sector) in last 10 years with aggregate capital expenditure of at least one project should be equivalent to at least Rs. 320 Crore for Project 1 and Rs. 520 Crore for Project 2. However, the capital expenditure of at least one project should be equivalent to at least Rs. 38.4 Crore for Project 1 and Rs. 62.4 Crore for Project 2.</p> <p>We have developed only one project with capital expenditure of Rs. 1560 Crore. It is our understanding that we meet the qualifying criteria stipulated in RFQ. Please confirm.</p>	Bidder may meet the Technical requirement with single project having capital expenditure more than that indicated under RFQ Cl. 2.1.2 subject to fulfillment of all other conditions indicated under same clause.
6	<p>Clause 2.1.3.1</p> <p>A. Internal Resource Generation</p> <p>Internal Resource Generation should be at least Rs. 100 Crore for project 1 and Rs. 145.6 Crore for Project 2 computed as three times of the maximum of the internal resource generated in a financial year of any of the last three years</p> <p>We have commenced the commercial operation from August 2006 and as such do not meet the requirements which are stipulated for qualification purposes.</p>	The approach of seeking financial qualification based on strength of Bidding Company for Clause 2.1.3.1 (B) and on strength of parent of Bidding Company for Clause 2.1.3.1(A), would be in conformity with the conditions of RFQ, subject to compliance with other requirements of RFQ.

Sl. No.	Query Description	Clarification/ Remarks
	<p>B. Networth  Networth should be equal to at least Rs. 150 Crore for Project 1 and Rs. 208 Crore for Project 2</p> <p>Our Networth meets the stipulated qualifying requirement.</p> <p>We intend to seek necessary support from our parent company for Clause A i.e. Internal Resource Generation and would provide a certified copy to be issued by the auditors of the parent company. Please confirm that the intended approach of seeking qualification based on our strength for Clause B and parent company's strength for Clause A, would be in conformity with the conditions of RFQ.</p>	