

System Strengthening in Northern Region for import of power from North Karanpura and other projects outside NR
and
System Strengthening in Western Region for import of power from North Karanpura and other projects outside WR and also for power evacuation from projects within WR through tariff based competitive bidding process.

Clarification to Bidder's queries on RFQ document:

Sl. No.	Query Description	Clarification/ Remarks
1.	<p>a) Please advise us of the Legal and Technical consultants of REC for these projects.</p> <p>b) Please advise on the estimated cost of the project.</p> <p>c) Is there any limit on the number of parties that will be shortlisted for these projects?</p> <p>d) Form 4.10 Authorisation from Parent / Affiliate of Bidding Company / Member of Bidding Consortium whose technical / financial capability has been used by the Bidding Company / Member of Bidding Consortium:</p> <p>The above mentioned document does not involve any certification of technical and/or financial capabilities. It only addresses the undertaking to be provided by the affiliate / parent during the RFP stage. Since no audited values are being mentioned, the statutory auditors are expressing reservations on providing their signatures on such a document. You are requested to waive the requirement for this document to be countersigned by the statutory auditors. The said undertaking should only be signed by the Managing Director/Chief Executive Officer/Manager.</p> <p>e) Form 4.7E. Additional Information for Verification of Financial and Technical Capabilities of Bidders:</p> <p>The heading of the form mentions that this document is to be prepared by bidder or</p>	<p>a) This information is not required for submission of RFQ proposal.</p> <p>b) The Bidder needs to work out the Capital Cost of the projects on his own. Bid Process Coordinator (BPC) shall provide no such information in this regard.</p> <p>c) No. There is no such limit.</p> <p>d) This is as per Standard Bidding document issued by Ministry of Power, Government of India. Therefore the change proposed is not being considered.</p> <p>e) This is as per Standard Bidding document issued by Ministry of Power, Government of India. Therefore the change proposed is not being considered.</p>

Sl. No.	Query Description	Clarification/ Remarks
	<p>consortium members or entities whose technical and/or financial capabilities have been used. However, it needs to be signed by the authorised signatory of the bidder/lead member. We believe this is a mistake and that the document needs to be signed by the authorised signatory of the entity for whom the information is being prepared.</p>	
2.	<p>a) In clause 2.2.3, it is mentioned that "The Response to RFQ shall contain unconsolidated/consolidated audited annual accounts (consisting of unabridged Balance Sheet, Profit and Loss Account, profit appropriation account, Auditors Report, etc.), as the case may be, of Bidding Company or each Member in Consortium including Lead Member for the last three (3) financial years immediately preceding the last date for submission of Response to RFQ for the purpose of calculation of Internal Resource Generation and Networth."</p> <p>Similarly in section 4.9 it is again mentioned that "Last 3 financial years' unconsolidated audited annual accounts, as the case may be, of Bidding Company or each Member in case of a Consortium including Lead Member" are to be attached.</p> <p>However in section 4.7 E, page 67, it is mentioned that the statements shall be of the Technically/Financially evaluated entity. Since the objective of seeking the annual accounts is for the purpose of calculation of Internal Resource Generation and Networth, please clarify if attaching annual accounts of the technically/financially evaluated entity would suffice.</p> <p>b) In clause 2.1.4 para (2) it is mentioned that "The determination of the relationship of Parent or Affiliate with the Bidding Company or with the Member of the Bidding Consortium, including the Lead Member, shall be on the date seven (7) days prior to the last date of submission of the Response to RFQ. Documentary evidence to establish such relationship shall be furnished by the Bidder along with the Response to RFQ."</p> <p>Section 4.7D Format for Technical & Financial</p>	<p>a) No, attaching annual accounts only of the Technically/Financially Evaluated Entity would not suffice.</p> <p>b) As given in said Clause 2.1.4 para (2) of RFQ, BPC would consider the status of relationship of Parent or Affiliate with the Bidding Company or with the Member of the Bidding Consortium, including the Lead Member as on the date Seven (7) days prior to the last date of submission of the Response to RFQ.</p>

Sl. No.	Query Description	Clarification/ Remarks
	<p>Requirement - Relationship & Details of equity shareholding, exactly fulfills this requirement. Please clarify if this document is to filled only "on the date Seven (7) days prior to the last date of submission of the response to RFQ" to fulfill the requirement of clause 2.1.4 or would BPC would consider the status as on the date Seven (7) days prior to the last date of submission of the response to RFQ" whatever date of format 4.7D.</p> <p>c) In section 4.10, Authorisation from Parent / Affiliate of Bidding Company / Member of Bidding Consortium whose technical / financial capability has been used by the Bidding Company / Member of Bidding Consortium, it is mentioned that Signature and Stamp of statutory Auditors of Parent/Affiliate are to be affixed. However as the content of the letter has no information that needs to be certified by Auditors and as the letter is to provide authorisation to bidder company, Statutory Auditors signature and stamp may not be necessary. The inability has been expressed by our Statutory Auditors also.</p> <p>Kindly clarify if the letter in format 4.10, Authorisation from Parent / Affiliate of Bidding Company / Member of Bidding Consortium whose technical / financial capability has been used by the Bidding Company / Member of Bidding Consortium, can be submitted without Statutory Auditors signature and stamp</p>	<p>c) This is as per Standard Bidding document issued by Ministry of Power, Government of India. Therefore the change proposed is not being considered.</p>